READ 2024
RESEARCH & EDUCATION IN AIRCRAFT DESIGN
WARSAW, POLAND | 6-8 NOVEMBER 2024

State-of-the-Art in Energy Optimization for Quadcopter UAVs: Trends, Techniques, and Future Directions

Mohammed Edawdi[1], Sara Waśniewska[1], Marcin Żugaj[1]

[1]Warsaw University of Technology

Abstract

As quadcopter Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) become increasingly prevalent in applications such as delivery services, environmental monitoring, and aerial photography, optimizing their energy consumption remains a paramount challenge. This paper provides a comprehensive review of the latest trends and state-of-the-art techniques in energy optimization for quadcopter UAVs, addressing this critical aspect to enhance operational efficiency and extend mission durations.

We systematically examine various strategies, including flight path optimization algorithms that account for wind conditions and terrain features, adaptive control systems that dynamically adjust flight parameters in real-time, and models and simulations for accurate energy consumption estimation. By analyzing recent advancements and comparing their effectiveness, we highlight both the achievements and gaps in the field.

Key findings indicate significant progress in the development of sophisticated algorithms and control systems that contribute to energy savings. Integrating environmental factors, such as wind patterns and turbulence, into flight planning and control can lead to substantial improvements in energy efficiency. Emerging trends, such as the use of machine learning techniques for predictive modeling and real-time optimization, hold great potential for future research and application.

Keywords: Energy Optimization, Quadcopter UAVs, Flight Path Optimization, Adaptive Control Systems, Environmental Condition Management

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose and Importance of Energy Optimization in Quadcopters

The primary purpose of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of state-of-the-art advancements in energy optimization for quadcopter Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). As UAVs are increasingly deployed in applications like communication, surveillance, and data collection, optimizing their energy consumption has become critical due to their limited energy storage capacity. Enhancing energy efficiency is essential for extending flight duration, improving operational performance, and reducing costs.

Several key areas will be explored in this review:

1.1.1 Trajectory Optimization

Optimizing the UAV's flight path is crucial for minimizing energy consumption. By considering kinematic and dynamic equations, smooth and practical 3D trajectories can be designed, enhancing energy efficiency by minimizing unnecessary energy expenditure during flight [1], [2], [3], [4]. Path planning algorithms that account for environmental conditions, such as wind, further reduce energy consumption by optimizing rotor speed and acceleration [5].

1.1.2 Energy Management Strategies

Advanced power management strategies, including the integration of hybrid power sources like solar and hydrogen energy, improve energy efficiency by optimizing power distribution and enhancing fuel economy [6], [7], [8]. For instance, hybrid electric fuel cell-powered drones benefit from strategies that improve power supply system efficiency and reduce hydrogen consumption, thereby extending endurance and reducing operational costs [6].

1.1.3 Reinforcement Learning Approaches

Machine learning techniques, particularly reinforcement learning, have been employed to dynamically optimize UAV trajectories and resource allocation. These approaches demonstrate significant improvements in energy efficiency compared to traditional methods by designing 3D trajectories that account for interference and environmental factors [9], [10], [11], [4]. Energy-efficient attitude control using reinforcement learning minimizes rapid changes in motor speeds, reducing battery depletion and extending flight time [11].

1.1.4 Wireless Power Transfer and Energy Harvesting

Innovative solutions like UAV-enabled wireless power transfer and energy harvesting provide continuous power supply to UAVs and ground devices, reducing overall energy consumption [12], [2], [13]. Integrating reconfigurable intelligent surfaces (RIS) in UAV-enabled wireless power transfer systems enhances energy efficiency by optimizing the UAV's trajectory, hovering time, and reflection coefficients [12].

1.1.5 Resource Allocation in Communication Networks

Optimizing resource allocation in UAV-assisted communication networks is critical for maximizing energy efficiency. Joint optimization frameworks that consider UAV trajectory, device association, user scheduling, and transmit power allocation can minimize total energy consumption in UAV-assisted IoT networks, achieving substantial energy savings compared to traditional algorithms [9], [7], [14]. Techniques such as the Dinkelbach algorithm and successive convex approximation effectively solve complex optimization problems in mobile edge computing scenarios, balancing the trade-off between completion time and energy consumption [7], [15].

1.2 Overview of the paper structure

This review paper is organized to provide a comprehensive examination of key advancements in energy optimization for quadcopter UAVs. It begins with an introduction outlining the purpose and importance of energy efficiency in quadcopters.

Section 2 presents the background and motivation, offering an overview of quadcopters and highlighting the challenges associated with energy consumption.

Section 3 reviews existing literature on control systems and trajectory optimization, discussing various strategies and methodologies aimed at enhancing energy efficiency.

Section 4 explores different energy consumption models used to estimate and optimize energy use in quadcopters, assessing their features and applicability.

Section 5 provides a comparative analysis of the reviewed approaches, identifying strengths, weaknesses, and gaps in current research.

Section 6 examines emerging trends and future directions in energy optimization, considering practical feasibility and potential impact on the UAV industry and society.

The paper concludes with Section 7, summarizing key insights and offering suggestions for future research to advance energy optimization in quadcopter UAVs.

2. Background and Motivation

2.1 Introduction to Quadcopters and Their Applications

Quadcopters, four-rotor UAVs known for their versatility and ease of control, have gained significant popularity due to their compact size and vertical takeoff and landing (VTOL) capabilities, making them suitable for operations in confined spaces and challenging environments [16]. They are utilized in a wide range of applications:

Industrial Sector: Employed in precision agriculture for autonomous monitoring of crop health and optimization of farming practices [17], and in infrastructure inspections such as tunnels and railways, navigating hazardous environments to provide real-time data [18].

Military and Defense: Used in surveillance and reconnaissance missions, providing real-time intelligence without risking human lives [18]. Advancements in control algorithms and autonomous systems have enhanced their reliability in dynamic environments [19].

Research and Development: Serve as platforms for testing new control strategies and dynamic models. Control techniques like Model Predictive Control, Linear Quadratic Regulators, and Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) controllers have been explored to improve performance and stability [20]. Innovations like self-foldable and self-deployable designs increase their portability and adaptability [21].

2.2 Challenges associated with energy consumption

Understanding the factors influencing energy consumption in quadcopters is crucial for optimizing their performance and efficiency. Key factors include dynamic behaviors, aerodynamic models, rotor configurations, and regulatory impacts.

2.2.1 Dynamic Behaviors and Control Systems

Dynamic behaviors such as rotation angles of arms, rotor angular velocities, and path curvature changes significantly influence energy consumption, necessitating dynamic modeling to understand their impact on power usage [22]. Implementing control laws, like adaptive controller-based backstepping methods, ensures stability and efficient trajectory tracking, affecting energy consumption [22].

2.2.2 Aerodynamic Models and Rotor Configurations

The choice of aerodynamic model impacts energy consumption. For example, the Lumped Blade (LB) model predicts 36% higher energy usage than the Blade Element Theory (BET) model [23]. Rotor configurations also affect energy demands, with different groupings causing a 4.7–4.9% difference in energy consumption during basic trajectories [23].

2.2.3 Regulatory and Environmental Factors

Regulatory compliance can significantly increase energy consumption; altered flight paths due to airspace regulations may lead to up to a 400% increase in energy demand [24]. Environmental factors, like urban versus rural settings, further influence energy usage due to differing regulations and operational conditions [24].

2.2.4 General Factors and Energy Models

A comprehensive understanding of energy consumption involves examining general factors and energy models affecting UAVs during missions, identifying specific factors and categorizing their impacts [25]. Dynamic models can analyze the energy efficiency of quadcopters during different maneuvers, aiding in energy-efficient path planning [26]. Technological advancements in energy storage and management could further influence energy consumption patterns, mitigating some of the demands imposed by these factors.

3. Review of Existing Literature

3.1 Control Systems and Trajectory Optimization

Improving the energy efficiency of quadrotors can be achieved through advanced control systems and optimal trajectory planning. By refining control laws and optimizing flight paths, significant enhancements in performance, stability, and energy consumption can be realized.

3.1.1 Energy-Efficient Control Methods

Various energy-efficient control strategies have been proposed:

Dynamic Speed Profile Control: Adjusting flight speed based on path curvature minimizes flight time and path-following errors. A kinematic path-following controller uses the maximum allowable speed for straight sections and reduces speed in curved paths, enhancing energy efficiency [27].

Optimized Controller Gains: Low- and medium-level control techniques track optimal, smooth trajectories to improve energy savings. Controller gains are optimized offline using algorithms like the Artificial Bee Colony to balance tracking performance and energy consumption [28].

Optimal Control for Trajectory Generation: Formulating optimal control problems helps generate energy-efficient trajectories between two states. By optimizing control variables like angular accelerations of the motors, energy consumption is minimized during flight [29], [30].

Wind-Adaptive Control: Under windy conditions, energy consumption is minimized by finding optimal trajectories and control signals that account for environmental disturbances [5].

Hamiltonian Optimal Control: Using Hamiltonian equations and Lagrange multipliers, desired control signals are derived while accounting for system constraints, leading to energy-efficient flight paths [31].

Integrated Prediction, Planning, and Adaptive Control: Combining energy prediction algorithms, optimal path planning (e.g., K-means clustering, A-star), and adaptive neural network controllers enhances energy efficiency and disturbance rejection [32].

Neural Network Approximation: Deep neural networks approximate model predictive controllers to reduce computational costs, maintaining similar tracking performance with increased computational efficiency, leading to energy savings [33].

Evolutionary Algorithms for Controller Tuning: Combining trajectory design with controller gain tuning using metaheuristic algorithms like cuckoo search improves energy efficiency by generating smooth trajectories and optimizing controller gains [34].

Nonlinear Optimal Backstepping Control: A double-loop controller ensures stability, minimizes energy consumption, and achieves high-accuracy path tracking. Parameters are optimized using multi-objective genetic algorithms [35].

Bounded Control Systems: Controlling roll and pitch angles within energy-efficient ranges reduces aggressive maneuvers and saves energy compared to traditional cascaded control systems [36].

Neural Network-Based Optimal Control: Adaptive search algorithms combined with neural networks optimize control signals for electric drives and flight control, minimizing power consumption [37].

Neurobiologically Inspired Controllers: Brain emotional learning-based intelligent controllers use cognitive functions to improve control quality, achieving precise path tracking while minimizing control effort [38].

Efficient Algorithm Replacement: Replacing high-energy algorithms with more efficient ones, such as lightweight object detection networks (YOLOv3) and linear active disturbance rejection controllers, enhances energy management [39].

Incremental Control Allocation: Embedding incremental control allocation in nonlinear dynamic inversion controllers minimizes energy increments during time steps, achieving similar trajectory tracking accuracy to that achieved by currently existing methods, but with reduced energy consumption [40].

Model Predictive Control Comparison: Linear and nonlinear model predictive control methods are compared for stable flight and energy minimization. Nonlinear MPC provides better tracking but higher energy usage, while linear MPC is more energy-efficient [41].

Speed Control with Deep Reinforcement Learning: Combining path planning with speed control using PID and deep reinforcement learning shortens flight time and saves energy by optimizing flight speed and collision avoidance [42].

3.1.2 Strategies for optimal trajectory planning

Optimal trajectory planning considers both the geometric path and dynamic parameters like velocity and acceleration to generate smooth and energy-efficient flights [43]. Recent developments include:

Adaptive Prediction and Planning under Disturbances: Model predictive control generates future control signals to minimize energy consumption under external disturbances like wind. Energy-efficient goals are selected based on predicted energy requirements, with online replanning to handle disturbances [44].

Optimal Control Formulations: Energy-efficient trajectories are obtained by solving constrained optimal control problems, where cost functions represent energy consumption modeled using rotor torques and angular velocities. Techniques like Legendre-Gauss-Radau collocation are used for numerical solutions [45].

Emphasis on Flight Time: Studies highlight that flight time has a greater impact on energy consumption than path length, advocating for trajectory planning that minimizes time in the air [31].

Trade-off Between Acceleration and Flight Time: Cost functions balancing acceleration costs (related to thrust) and total flight time are optimized using Pontryagin's Minimum Principle to generate energy-efficient trajectories [46].

Exploiting Dynamic Properties: Utilizing the aircraft's dynamics within the special Euclidean space SE(3), optimization problems are solved using Lie group variational integrators, preserving system geometry and improving energy efficiency [47].

Indirect Optimal Control Solutions: Open-loop optimal control problems are solved numerically to achieve stable flight with minimal thrust and high-speed trajectories, using rotor angular velocities as control variables [48].

Planar Quadrotor Trajectory Planning: For planar dynamics, minimum energy trajectories between resting configurations are computed using electrical models of DC motors and solved with indirect projected gradient algorithms [49].

Landing Considerations: Incorporating the ability to land at the target reduces unnecessary hover time, thus decreasing energy consumption. Ground contact forces are modeled to simulate landing dynamics [50].

Multi-Arc Interpolation and Disturbance Rejection: Optimal trajectories are determined using Pontryagin’s Maximum Principle and solved with numerical shooting methods. Backstepping controllers with disturbance observers ensure stability under wind disturbances [51].

Integrated Dynamics Modeling: A holistic approach models integrated dynamics of propeller aerodynamics, electromechanical motors, electronic speed controllers, battery dynamics, and rigid body dynamics to generate energy-efficient trajectories. This is combined with PID feedback control for real-time tracking [52].

3.1.3 Wind and Environmental Condition Management

Optimizing energy consumption in quadcopters under varying wind conditions is crucial for extending flight endurance and ensuring mission success. Strategies involve developing algorithms that consider environmental factors like wind speed and direction to manage energy use effectively.

Optimization Algorithms for Energy Minimization: Formulating the energy minimization problem as an optimal control problem allows for adjusting rotor speed and acceleration under windy conditions, leading to significant energy savings compared to adaptive control approaches [5].

Wind-Aware Path Planning: Incorporating wind conditions into route planning enables drones to adjust their paths to exploit favorable winds, thereby conserving energy. Simulations using real wind data demonstrate substantial energy efficiency gains when wind conditions are considered [53].

Trajectory Optimization in Windy Conditions: Determining optimal flight trajectories by considering quadcopter velocity, wind speed, and direction helps minimize energy consumption. Comparative studies show that optimal trajectory planning under varying wind conditions significantly reduces energy use [54].

3.1.4 Advanced Strategies for Path Optimization

Optimizing quadcopter flight paths to minimize energy consumption is a critical research area involving advanced algorithms and optimization techniques to ensure efficiency, safety, and performance. Key strategies include:

3.1.4.1 Metaheuristic Optimization Algorithms:

Hybrid Algorithms: Combining methods like Harris Hawk Optimization with Grey Wolf Optimization avoids local minima and achieves fast convergence, resulting in optimal path planning with minimal energy and time consumption [55]. Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithms, incorporating adaptive parameters and deep reinforcement learning techniques, enhance global search capabilities and reduce unnecessary energy consumption, especially in complex terrains [56], [57].

Enhanced Convergence and Solution Optimality: Adaptive PSO algorithms dynamically adjust parameters to improve convergence speed and solution quality, using methods like chaos-based logistic maps and simulated annealing to avoid local minima [56], [57], [58].

Safety and Feasibility: Algorithms like Spherical Vector-Based PSO optimize UAV paths by incorporating safety and operational constraints, outperforming other PSO variants in various scenarios [59], [60].

Multi-UAV Coordination: Hybrid algorithms effectively handle multi-UAV path planning by balancing exploration and exploitation, resulting in feasible paths with superior accuracy and convergence speed [61].

Algorithm Performance: Comparative studies indicate that hybrid and improved PSO algorithms outperform traditional PSO and genetic algorithms in path quality, convergence speed, and robustness [62], [63].

3.1.4.2 Kinodynamic Path Planning and B-Spline Optimization

Kinodynamic path searching methods find safe and feasible initial trajectories, which are then optimized using B-spline functions for smoothness and clearance. Differential flatness techniques manipulate control points via nonlinear constrained optimization to minimize energy consumption while ensuring dynamical feasibility [64], [65].

3.1.4.3 Fly-Hover-Communicate Design

Optimizing UAV trajectories and communication time allocation among ground nodes minimizes total energy consumption. The fly-hover-communicate design transforms the problem into a discretized equivalent for easier optimization, effectively reducing energy usage [66].

3.1.4.4 Model Predictive Control (MPC)

Time-Optimal Trajectories: MPC and Model Predictive Contouring Control (MPCC) generate near time-optimal trajectories in real time by concurrently solving time allocation and control problems, outperforming state-of-the-art methods and human pilots [67], [68].

Adaptive Tracking: MPC schemes adjust tracking time on the fly, enhancing accuracy and robustness in dynamic scenarios [68].

3.1.4.5 Real-Time Trajectory Generation

Decoupled Planning: Algorithms that plan separate trajectories for each translational degree of freedom with decoupled constraints enable feasible trajectory computation within microseconds, allowing high-rate replanning in dense environments [69].

Sparse Computation: Polynomial trajectory generation methods optimize path segments in an unconstrained quadratic program, enabling efficient computation and fast trajectory generation [70].

3.1.4.6 Graph Search and Trajectory Optimization:

Global Reasoning: Combining discrete graph search with trajectory optimization provides global reasoning capabilities, generating trajectories with provable completeness even in non-convex spaces [71].

Safe Flight Corridors: Constructing convex overlapping polyhedra allows real-time motion planning formulated as a quadratic program [72].

3.1.4.7 Waypoint-Based Polynomial Trajectory Generation

Alternating minimization between boundary conditions and time durations achieves spatial-temporal optimality and computational efficiency, suitable for large-scale waypoint-based planning and ensuring optimal 3D routes in urban delivery missions [73], [74].

3.1.4.8 Deep Learning and Model-Based Optimization

Quadratic Programming Framework: Integrating deep learning with model-based optimization solves trajectory planning as a quadratic programming problem. Neural networks learn time allocations directly, enhancing training speed and success rates, effective in real-time applications within cluttered environments [75], [76].

3.1.4.9 Global Yaw Parameterization

Allowing 360-degree yaw variation by including quadratic constraints reduces control effort and improves optimization feasibility. This method benefits aerial exploration and target tracking scenarios [77].

3.1.4.10 Energy-Optimal Trajectory Control

Developing energy-optimal reference generators and controllers using optimal control theory minimizes energy consumption while maintaining low computational costs. Precise electrical models for motors and least-squares estimation algorithms for UAV parameters are employed [78].

3.1.4.11 Hybrid Path Search Algorithms

Combining Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree (RRT) with Jump Point Search (JPS) enhances path search smoothness and speed. Incorporating time and energy consumption into the cost function ensures faster optimization while maintaining trajectory smoothness [79].

3.1.4.12 Nonlinear Model Predictive Control (NMPC)

Utilizing NMPC to optimize velocity and trajectory leads to significant energy savings. Generating energy-efficient trajectories and tracking them with NMPC has shown a 36% reduction in energy consumption compared to standard controllers like PID and LQR [80].

3.1.4.13 Energy Map and Graph-Based Path Planning

Energy Mapping: Creating an "energy map" that accounts for UAV component energy consumption and environmental factors like wind conditions allows for optimal path planning, significantly reducing energy usage [81], [82].

3.1.4.14 Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL)

Employing DRL to optimize UAV trajectories, power allocation, and communication scheduling in UAV-enabled IoT networks enhances energy efficiency by allowing the UAV to take energy-efficient actions within a Markov Decision Process framework [83].

3.1.4.15 Fly-Circle-Communicate Trajectory Design

Clustering IoT devices and using 3D Dubins curves for smooth transitions optimize energy consumption and communication time. This method conserves energy by visiting cluster centers and performing circular flights, reducing overall communication time and preserving battery life [84].

4. Energy Consumption Models

Given the limited battery capacity and the increasing demand for longer and more efficient flights, accurate modeling of energy consumption has become a focal point in quadcopter research and development. Various approaches have been developed, each with its own methodologies, assumptions, and applications. This section provides a comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art energy consumption models for quadcopters, highlighting their key features, strengths, and limitations.

4.1 Dynamic and Physics-Based Models

Dynamic and physics-based models leverage the fundamental principles of motion and energy conservation to predict energy consumption accurately. These models consider the quadcopter's movement dynamics, thrust forces, and control algorithms.

[85] introduced a closed-form energy model based on the dynamics of movement and energy conservation principles. Their model accurately predicts energy consumption and is adaptable to different types of multi-rotors, including gasoline-powered drones, by accounting for motor efficiencies. [26] extended this approach by incorporating thrust force correction factors and environmental conditions, such as wind, to enhance the model's accuracy during various maneuvers.

These models are valuable for calculating optimal velocities for different flight paths and providing accurate energy estimates during maneuvers like forward flight and turning. They are particularly effective when the quadcopter's physical parameters are well-known and can be precisely modeled.

4.2 Component-Based and Generic Models

Component-based models decompose the quadcopter into multiple observable components, allowing for detailed analysis of energy consumption across different maneuvers and mission types. This approach enhances adaptability and ease of integration into various platforms.

[86] proposed a generic energy model that breaks down the quadcopter into its constituent components. This mission-centric model achieves high accuracy in predicting energy consumption and can be easily adapted to new platforms with minimal effort. The model's adaptability makes it suitable for integration into autonomous systems, enhancing decision-making processes.

4.3 Theoretical and Empirical Models

Theoretical models derived from helicopter literature have been adapted and validated for quadcopters. These models provide reliable energy consumption estimates and are often validated through experimental flights.

[87] developed a power consumption model for multi-rotor small, unmanned aircraft systems by adapting theoretical concepts from helicopter aerodynamics. Their model was validated with experimental data, demonstrating its applicability for flight planning systems.

Empirical models, on the other hand, rely on real flight data to predict energy consumption. [88] employed Gaussian Processes to create an empirical model that offers precise energy consumption estimates with minimal data and training time. This model outperformed other data-driven approaches like Artificial Neural Networks, highlighting the effectiveness of Gaussian Processes in capturing the complex relationships between flight parameters and energy consumption.

4.4 Data-Driven and Machine Learning Models

Data-driven approaches utilize machine learning techniques to model energy consumption based on flight data. These models are particularly useful when dealing with complex systems where analytical modeling is challenging.

[89] conducted a comparative study on energy consumption models using Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks. Their findings indicated that LSTM-based models outperform traditional mathematical models in terms of accuracy and flexibility. The adaptability of these models allows them to handle various flight conditions effectively.

4.5 Battery-Aware Models

Battery characteristics significantly influence quadcopter performance. Battery-aware models incorporate the non-linear and non-ideal behaviors of batteries to provide more accurate energy consumption estimates.

[90] emphasized the importance of considering battery non-linearities in energy consumption models. Their battery-aware model enhances the accuracy of flight time estimations, which is crucial for applications like delivery services and search and rescue missions where precise energy management is vital.

4.6 Power Management and Optimization Strategies

Advanced power management strategies aim to optimize the energy usage of quadcopters, especially those with hybrid power systems combining batteries with alternative energy sources like fuel cells.

[6] explored hybrid fuel cell-powered drones and proposed power management strategies such as frequency separation rule-based approaches and equivalent consumption minimization strategies. These strategies significantly reduced hydrogen consumption and extended the drone's endurance, demonstrating the potential for cost savings and efficiency improvements in hybrid systems.

4.7 Trajectory-Based Models

Trajectory-based models focus on optimizing flight paths to minimize energy consumption, considering factors like motor dynamics and aerodynamics.

[91] developed an energy consumption model that accounts for the dynamics of Brushless DC (BLDC) motors and aerodynamic forces. This model aids in designing energy-efficient UAV trajectories, which is particularly beneficial for applications such as mobile communication network designs where prolonged flight times are essential.

4.8 Specialized Models for Tethered Systems

Tethered quadcopter systems present unique energy consumption characteristics due to the physical connection to a ground station.

[92] analyzed the energy consumption of downward-tethered quadrotors (DTQ). By optimizing mechanical power consumption through proper tension adjustment in the tether, they achieved more energy-efficient flight. This specialized model highlights the importance of considering system-specific factors in energy consumption modeling.

4.9 Comparative Analyses and Configuration Studies

Comparative studies help in understanding the impact of different quadcopter configurations on energy consumption and performance.

[93] compared the dynamic models and flight control of plus and cross quadcopter configurations. While both configurations consumed similar amounts of energy, the cross configuration demonstrated better maneuverability and stability due to the decoupling of pitch and roll control from yaw. Such insights are valuable for selecting appropriate configurations based on mission requirements.

4.10 Environmental Factors and Real-World Validation

Incorporating environmental conditions and validating models with empirical data are essential for ensuring the accuracy and reliability of energy consumption models.

[26] included environmental factors like wind in their dynamic model to enhance prediction accuracy. [94] emphasized the importance of empirical validation, noting that models differ significantly in scope and features, which can lead to variations in predicted energy consumption rates. Their assessment highlighted the need for standardized validation methods to compare different models effectively.

5. Comparative Analysis

Table 1 compares various control strategies and their impact on energy consumption. Many strategies focus on optimization techniques, directly minimizing energy use by fine-tuning controller parameters or control signals. Adaptive and learning controllers, such as neural networks and learning algorithms, adapt control strategies in real-time to improve energy efficiency under changing conditions.

There are trade-offs between computational complexity, control accuracy, and energy efficiency. More accurate models might consume more energy or require additional computational resources. Some strategies consider environmental factors like wind, enhancing energy savings by adapting to external conditions.

Implementation challenges include computational demands and the need for precise modeling, which can make real-world application difficult despite promising simulation results.

Table 1: Comparison of Control Strategies and Their Impact on Energy Consumption

Ref.	Control Strategy	Key Features	Impact on Energy Consumption	Advantages	Limitations
[27]	Kinematic Path-Following Controller	*Utilizes flight speed as an additional degree of freedom; minimizes flight time and path-following errors by adjusting speed based on path curvature*	Reduces energy consumption by optimizing flight speed and minimizing unnecessary movements	*Improved energy efficiency; better path adherence*	Requires precise path curvature modeling
[28]	Low- and Medium-Level Control Techniques with PD Controller	*Tracks optimal, smooth trajectories; PD controller gains optimized offline using the Artificial Bee Colony algorithm; cost function balances tracking performance and energy use*	Enhances energy savings by optimizing controller gains for efficient tracking	*Improved tracking performance; reduced energy consumption*	Gains are static; may not adapt to changing conditions
[29]	Optimal Control Problem for Angular Accelerations	*Calculates angular accelerations of motors to ensure energy-efficient trajectories; solves optimal control problem for trajectory planning*	Ensures minimal energy usage by optimizing motor controls	*Direct minimization of energy consumption*	Computational complexity; may be intensive for real-time applications
[30]	Nonlinear Programming for Control Variables and Trajectory	*Minimizes total flight energy consumption; formulates as a nonlinear programming problem; solved using direct collocation*	Optimizes both control inputs and trajectory for energy efficiency	*Comprehensive energy optimization*	Computationally intensive; requires significant processing power
[5]	Optimal Control under Windy Conditions	*Addresses energy minimization under wind influence; finds optimal trajectory and control signals considering environmental factors*	Significant energy savings demonstrated in simulations	*Accounts for real-world environmental conditions*	Complex implementation; may be

					challenging in real-time
[31]	Hamiltonian Equations and Lagrange Multipliers	*Uses Hamiltonian mechanics for control signal derivation; incorporates system constraints via Lagrange multipliers*	Highlights flight time as a critical factor in energy use	*Accurate modeling of energy factors*	Complex mathematical formulation; may be difficult to solve
[32]	ANN-Based Low-Level Controller with Energy Prediction	*Combines energy prediction, optimal path planning, and adaptive neural network control for disturbance rejection*	Improves energy efficiency through adaptive learning and control	*Online learning; adaptable to varying parameters*	Complexity in ANN training and implementation
[33]	Deep Neural Network Approximation of MPC	*Approximates Model Predictive Control using DNN; trained via supervised learning; reduces computational load*	Saves energy by enhancing computational efficiency, extending flight time	*Suitable for real-time applications; efficient computation*	Requires extensive training data; potential generalization issues
[34]	Trajectory Design and Controller Gain Tuning via Metaheuristic Algorithm	*Uses evolutionary algorithms (e.g., cuckoo search) to optimize controller gains; cost function includes tracking error and power consumption*	Minimizes energy use and tracking error through optimized gains	*Applicable to various controllers; enhances energy efficiency*	Offline optimization; lacks real-time adaptability
[35]	Double-Loop Nonlinear Optimal Backstepping Controller	*Inner loop for attitude, outer loop for position; parameters optimized using a multi-objective genetic algorithm*	Reduces energy consumption while improving path tracking accuracy	*Optimized control parameters; enhanced performance*	Complex implementation; offline optimization required
[36]	Cascaded, Bounded Control System Based on Energy-Efficient Angles	*Controls roll and pitch within energy-efficient ranges identified experimentally; reduces aggressive maneuvers*	Increases energy efficiency by limiting high-consumption orientations	*Simple implementation; direct energy consumption control*	May reduce agility; depends on accurate experimental data
[37]	Adaptive Search Algorithm with Neural Network	*Neural network assists in optimal control of electric drive and flight; trained using adaptive search algorithm data*	Minimizes power consumption by optimizing control signals	*Accelerates search for optimal controls; adaptive*	Requires training; complexity in implementation
[38]	Brain Emotional Learning Based Intelligent Controller (BELBIC)	*Neurobiologically inspired controller; gains optimized using particle swarm optimization and cuttlefish algorithm*	Provides energy-tuned trajectory tracking	*Mimics cognitive functions; optimized for energy efficiency*	Complex design and optimization process
[39]	Replacement with Efficient Algorithms (YOLOV3 and ADRC)	*Replaces heavy algorithms with lightweight YOLOV3 for detection and ADRC for control*	Enhances energy management by reducing computational load	*Lower energy consumption; efficient processing*	Potential trade-offs in performance quality
[40]	Incremental Control Allocation in Nonlinear Dynamic Inversion Controller	*Minimizes energy increments via quadratic programming; follows predefined trajectories efficiently*	Achieves energy-efficient control with accurate trajectory tracking	*Similar accuracy with reduced energy use*	Requires solving optimization problems in real-time
[41]	Comparison of Linear and Nonlinear Model Predictive Control (MPC)	*Linear MPC uses simplified models; Nonlinear MPC uses detailed models; evaluates trade-offs between accuracy and energy use*	Linear MPC consumes less energy; Nonlinear MPC offers better accuracy but higher energy use	*Insights into balancing energy use and control precision*	Nonlinear MPC is computationally heavy; linear MPC less accurate
[42]	Flight Path and Speed Control with PID and Reinforcement Learning	*Combines path planning with speed control; uses PID with depth images or deep RL for collision avoidance*	Reduces flight time and energy consumption	*Shorter flights; improved energy efficiency*	Implementation complexity; requires imaging or RL training

6. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The pursuit of energy optimization in quadcopter UAVs is propelling advancements in technology and methodology. This section explores emerging trends and future directions, providing specific examples, addressing common challenges, and assessing the practicality of these innovations.

6.1 Development of Practical and Scalable Methods

6.1.1 Lightweight Algorithms and Onboard Processing

To transition from theoretical research to practical applications, there's a need for algorithms that are both effective and suitable for the limited computational resources of UAVs. Researchers are developing simplified versions of existing algorithms and new lightweight methods that reduce computational load without compromising performance.

Example:

- Simplified Model Predictive Control (MPC): By reducing the complexity of MPC algorithms, UAVs can perform real-time trajectory optimization with less processing power, as demonstrated in recent studies where simplified MPC was successfully implemented on quadcopters with limited hardware capabilities.

Current Readiness:

- Experimental Stage: These methods are being tested in controlled environments and have shown promise in small-scale deployments.

Challenges:

- Computational Constraints: Even simplified algorithms must balance performance with the processing limitations of onboard systems.

6.2 Enhancing Adaptability with Advanced Technologies

6.2.1 Integration of Advanced Sensors

Advanced sensors like LiDAR, high-precision IMUs, and environmental sensors enhance a UAV's ability to perceive its environment, leading to more efficient energy use through better navigation and obstacle avoidance.

Example:

- LiDAR-Assisted Navigation: UAVs equipped with LiDAR can create detailed 3D maps of their surroundings, allowing for precise path planning that avoids obstacles and reduces unnecessary movements, thereby conserving energy.

Current Readiness:

- Commercial Availability: These sensors are increasingly available and are being integrated into UAV systems, though cost and weight remain considerations.

6.2.2 Machine Learning and Reinforcement Learning

Machine learning, especially deep reinforcement learning (DRL), enables UAVs to learn optimal strategies for energy management through interaction with their environment.

Example:

- Adaptive Control Policies: A study demonstrated that UAVs using DRL could adjust their flight paths in real-time to account for wind patterns, resulting in up to 20% energy savings compared to static path planning.

Current Readiness:

- Emerging Technology: While promising, DRL applications are mostly in research stages due to high computational demands and the need for extensive training data.

Challenges and Solutions:

- Computational Demands: Utilizing onboard GPUs or specialized hardware accelerators can help meet processing requirements.
- Data Requirements: Simulated environments can generate training data, though the simulation-to-reality gap must be addressed to ensure real-world effectiveness.

6.3 Broadening Optimization Approaches

6.3.1 Exploration of Diverse Algorithms

Beyond traditional methods, algorithms inspired by natural processes offer potential improvements in energy optimization.

Examples:

- Genetic Algorithms (GA): GA have been used to optimize flight paths by evolving solutions over generations, finding efficient routes that reduce energy consumption.
- Ant Colony Optimization (ACO): ACO algorithms mimic the behavior of ants to discover optimal paths, adaptable to dynamic environments where conditions change rapidly.

Current Readiness:

- Research Phase: These algorithms are primarily explored in academic settings, with some initial implementations in prototype systems.

Challenges:

- Scalability: Ensuring these algorithms can operate efficiently on UAV hardware is an ongoing challenge.

6.4 Consolidated Challenges Across Trends

6.4.1 Computational Demands and Data Requirements

A common challenge across emerging technologies is the need for significant computational resources and large datasets for training algorithms.

Strategies to Address These Challenges:

- Algorithm Optimization: Developing more efficient code and leveraging approximate computing techniques can reduce computational loads.
- Federated Learning: This approach allows multiple UAVs to collaboratively learn from shared experiences without centralized data collection, mitigating data scarcity and privacy concerns.

6.4.2 Cybersecurity Risks and Ethical Considerations

As UAVs become more autonomous and connected, they face increased cybersecurity threats and raise ethical questions.

Examples and Incidents:

- Cybersecurity Breaches: Instances of UAVs being hijacked through unsecured communication links highlight the need for robust encryption and authentication protocols.
- Ethical Concerns: The use of UAVs in surveillance has sparked debates on privacy rights, emphasizing the importance of establishing ethical guidelines.

Solutions:

- Security Protocols: Implementing strong encryption and regularly updating security measures can protect against cyber threats.
- Ethical Frameworks: Developing and adhering to ethical standards ensures responsible use of UAV technology.

6.5 Integrating Environmental and Regulatory Considerations

6.5.1 Compliance with Regulations

Incorporating regulatory requirements into energy optimization models ensures UAV operations are legal and socially acceptable.

Example:

- Regulation-Aware Path Planning: Algorithms that factor in no-fly zones and altitude restrictions can optimize routes that are both energy-efficient and compliant with aviation laws.

Current Readiness:

- Implementation in Progress: Some commercial UAV systems already include basic regulatory compliance features, with ongoing research to enhance these capabilities.

6.5.2 Environmental Sustainability

Optimizing energy consumption aligns with environmental goals by reducing the carbon footprint and minimizing noise pollution.

Example:

- Electric Propulsion Systems: Transitioning to electric motors powered by renewable energy sources reduces emissions associated with UAV operations.

6.6 Assessing Practical Feasibility

While the technologies and methods discussed offer significant potential, their practical implementation varies:

- Mature Technologies: Advanced sensors and basic machine learning algorithms are already integrated into some UAVs.
- Emerging Technologies: DRL and bio-inspired optimization algorithms are in experimental stages, requiring further development before widespread adoption.
- Challenges Ahead: Technical feasibility, cost, and regulatory hurdles must be addressed to realize these advancements fully.

6.7 Impact on the UAV Industry and Society

Adopting these emerging trends will:

- Enhance Efficiency: Leading to longer flight times and more reliable operations.
- Promote Sustainability: Contributing to environmental conservation efforts.
- Improve Services: Enabling better performance in applications like disaster response, agriculture, and logistics.
- Drive Innovation: Stimulating technological progress and economic growth in the UAV sector.

7. Conclusion

Energy optimization in quadcopter UAVs is critical due to the increasing demand for efficient, longer-duration flights across applications such as surveillance, communication, delivery, and environmental monitoring. This review examined advanced strategies to reduce energy consumption in quadcopters, highlighting the interplay between trajectory planning, control systems, environmental adaptation, and optimization algorithms.

Optimal trajectory planning is central to minimizing energy usage. By transforming trajectory planning into optimal control problems, researchers have developed methods to determine energy-efficient flight paths. Implementations like kinodynamic path searching with B-splines and the incorporation of environmental factors such as wind have demonstrated significant energy savings and extended flight durations in practical applications.

Advanced control systems enhance energy efficiency by effectively executing optimized trajectories. Strategies such as Model Predictive Control (MPC) optimize flight parameters in real-time, while reinforcement learning approaches enable dynamic adaptation to changing environments. However, challenges like computational complexity and the need for extensive training data limit their widespread adoption.

Environmental adaptation is critical for optimizing energy consumption. Incorporating environmental data into flight planning—such as leveraging wind conditions through energy-distance maps and customized algorithms—has resulted in substantial energy savings, particularly in urban environments where wind patterns can be exploited.

Optimization algorithms have significantly advanced energy optimization efforts. Beyond Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), algorithms like Genetic Algorithms (GA), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), and hybrid metaheuristic methods contribute to optimizing flight paths and control parameters, improving convergence speed and solution quality.

Despite these advancements, implementation challenges persist. Computational demands, real-time processing requirements, and reliance on simulations limit practical deployment. Many strategies assume simplified models and face obstacles such as the need for robust data and limited onboard computational resources.

To address these challenges, future research should focus on:

- Developing practical, scalable methods suitable for real-world conditions, including lightweight algorithms compatible with UAVs' onboard systems.
- Enhancing adaptability by integrating advanced technologies like environmental sensors for real-time data acquisition and employing machine learning for dynamic adaptation.
- Broadening optimization approaches through exploration of diverse algorithms and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration among experts in control theory, machine learning, and aeronautics.

- Addressing implementation challenges by creating efficient computational methods and robust training techniques to overcome data and processing constraints.
- Integrating environmental and regulatory considerations into optimization models to ensure compliance, sustainability, and a balance between energy efficiency and operational requirements.

By pursuing these directions, the UAV industry can achieve more efficient, adaptable, and practical energy optimization strategies. These advancements will not only enhance the operational capabilities of quadcopters but also contribute to broader societal benefits such as improved service delivery, environmental conservation, and resource efficiency. Embracing these innovations will transform UAV applications across various sectors, fostering sustainable growth and addressing the evolving needs of modern society.

8. Contact Author Email Address

Mailto: Mohammed.edawdi.dokt@pw.edu.pl

9. Copyright Statement

The authors confirm that they, and/or their company or organization, hold copyright on all of the original material included in this paper. The authors also confirm that they have obtained permission, from the copyright holder of any third party material included in this paper, to publish it as part of their paper. The authors confirm that they give permission, or have obtained permission from the copyright holder of this paper, for the publication and distribution of this paper as part of the READ proceedings or as individual off-prints from the proceedings.

References

[1] B. Li, S. Member, Q. Li, Y. Zeng, Y. Rong, and R. Zhang, "3D Trajectory Optimization for Energy-Efficient UAV Communication: A Control Design Perspective," *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS*, vol. 21, no. 6, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2021.3131384.

[2] J. Xu, Yong Zeng, and Rui Zhang, "UAV-Enabled Wireless Power Transfer: Trajectory Design and Energy Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 17, pp. 5092–5106, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2018.2838134.

[3] Yong Zeng and Rui Zhang, "Energy-Efficient UAV Communication With Trajectory Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 16, pp. 3747–3760, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2017.2688328.

[4] Ruijin Ding, F. Gao, and Xuemin Shen, "3D UAV Trajectory Design and Frequency Band Allocation for Energy-Efficient and Fair Communication: A Deep Reinforcement Learning Approach," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, pp. 7796–7809, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2020.3016024.

[5] F. Yacef, N. Rizoug, and Laid Degaa, "Energy-Efficiency Path Planning for Quadrotor UAV Under Wind Conditions," *2020 7th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies (CoDIT)*, vol. 1, pp. 1133–1138, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1109/CoDIT49905.2020.9263968.

[6] Mohamed Nadir Boukoberine, M. F. Zia, M. Benbouzid, Zhibin Zhou, and T. Donateo, "Hybrid fuel cell powered drones energy management strategy improvement and hydrogen saving using real flight test data," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 236, p. 113987, May 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.ENCONMAN.2021.113987.

[7] Mushu Li, Nan Cheng, Jie Gao, Yinlu Wang, Lian Zhao, and X. Shen, "Energy-Efficient UAV-Assisted Mobile Edge Computing: Resource Allocation and Trajectory Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, pp. 3424–3438, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2020.2968343.

[8] Tao Lei, Zhou Yang, Zicun Lin, and Xiaobin Zhang, "State of art on energy management strategy for hybrid-powered unmanned aerial vehicle," *Chinese Journal of Aeronautics*, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1016/J.CJA.2019.03.013.

[9] Abhishek N. Mondal, Deepak Mishra, G. Prasad, and A. Hossain, "Joint Optimization Framework for Minimization of Device Energy Consumption in Transmission Rate Constrained UAV-Assisted IoT Network," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 9591–9607, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2021.3128883.

[10] Babatunji Omoniwa, Boris Galkin, and Ivana Dusparic, "Optimizing Energy Efficiency in UAV-Assisted Networks Using Deep Reinforcement Learning," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 11, pp. 1590–1594, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2204.01597.

[11] Varuni Agarwal and R. Tewari, "Improving Energy Efficiency in UAV Attitude Control using Deep Reinforcement Learning," *Journal of Scientific Research*, 2021, doi: 10.37398/JSR.2021.650325.

[12] Hong Ren, Zhenkun Zhang, Zhangjie Peng, Li Li, and Cunhua Pan, "Energy Minimization in RIS-Assisted UAV-Enabled Wireless Power Transfer Systems," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, pp. 5794–5809, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2022.3150178.

[13] Zhaohui Yang, W. Xu, and M. Shikh-Bahaei, "Energy Efficient UAV Communication With Energy Harvesting," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, pp. 1913–1927, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TVT.2019.2961993.

[14] Haijun Zhang, Jianmin Zhang, and Keping Long, "Energy Efficiency Optimization for NOMA UAV Network With Imperfect CSI," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 38, pp. 2798–2809, May 2020, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2020.3005489.

[15] Cheng Zhan, Han Hu, Xiufeng Sui, Zhi Liu, and D. Niyato, "Completion Time and Energy Optimization in the UAV-Enabled Mobile-Edge Computing System," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 7, pp. 7808–7822, May 2020, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2020.2993260.

[16] Abdullah Irfan, Muhammad Gufran Khan, and Syed Ali Mohsin, "Quadcopter Dynamic Modeling and Stability Control Design using Hardware in Loop," *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics, Automation, Artificial-Intelligence and Internet-of-Things (RAAICON)*, pp. 56–59, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1109/RAAICON54709.2021.9930035.

[17] K. Priandana, M. Hazim, Wulandari, and B. Kusumoputro, "Development of Autonomous UAV Quadcopters using Pixhawk Controller and Its Flight Data Acquisition," *2020 International Conference on Computer Science and Its Application in Agriculture (ICOSICA)*, pp. 1–6, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1109/ICOSICA49951.2020.9243289.

[18] C. Vong, K. Ryan, and Hoam Chung, "Trajectory tracking control of quadcopters under tunnel effects," *Mechatronics*, vol. 78, p. 102628, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.MECHATRONICS.2021.102628.

[19] Nguyen Xuan-Mung *et al.*, "Quadcopter Precision Landing on Moving Targets via Disturbance Observer-Based Controller and Autonomous Landing Planner," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 83580–83590, 2022, doi: 10.1109/access.2022.3197181.

[20] J. Paredes *et al.*, "Development, Implementation, and Experimental Outdoor Evaluation of Quadcopter Controllers for Computationally Limited Embedded Systems," *Annu. Rev. Control.*, vol. 52, pp. 372–389, May 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.arcontrol.2021.06.001.

[21] Turcan Tuna, S. E. Ovur, Etka Gokbel, and T. Kumbasar, "Design and development of FOLLY: A self-foldable and self-deployable quadcopter," *Aerospace Science and Technology*, vol. 100, p. 105807, May 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ast.2020.105807.

[22] A. Belmouhoub, Yasser Bouzid, Slimane Medjmadj, and Saddam Hocine Derrouaoui, "Energy Based Modeling and Power Consumption of Unconventional Quadrotor," 2022, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/IECON49645.2022.9969114.

[23] Samantha Hoang, Laurel Marsh, Alberto Aliseda, and I. Y. Shen, "Effects of High Fidelity Modeling of Multirotor Drones," vol. 1, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1115/1.4050013.

[24] Mo. ElSayed and Moataz Mohamed, "The Uncertainty of Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles' Energy consumption," 2020. doi: 10.1109/ITEC48692.2020.9161517.

[25] Pedram Beigi, Mohammad Sadra Rajabi, and S. Aghakhani, "An Overview of Drone Energy Consumption Factors and Models," *arXiv.org*, vol. abs/2206.10775, 2022, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.10775.

[26] M. Jacewicz, M. Żugaj, R. Głębocki, and P. Bibik, "Quadrotor Model for Energy Consumption Analysis," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 19, p. 7136, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/EN15197136/S1.

[27] D. C. Gandolfo, L. R. Salinas, A. Brandao, and J. M. Toibero, "Stable Path-Following Control for a Quadrotor Helicopter Considering Energy Consumption," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1423–1430, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2016.2601288.

[28] R. Miranda-Colorado, L. T. Aguilar, and J. E. Herrero-Brito, "Reduction of power consumption on quadrotor vehicles via trajectory design and a controller-gains tuning stage," *Aerospace Science and Technology*, vol. 78, pp. 280–296, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ast.2018.04.027.

[29] F. Morbidi, R. Cano, and D. Lara, "Minimum-energy path generation for a quadrotor UAV," *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2016-June, pp. 1492–1498, Jun. 2016, doi: 10.1109/ICRA.2016.7487285.

[30] F. Yacef, N. Rizoug, O. Bouhali, and M. Hamerlain, "Optimization of Energy Consumption for Quadrotor UAV".

[31] M. Mazare, E. Davoodi, M. Taghizadeh, and M. Pourgholi, "Optimal Control Based on Minimum-Energy Trajectory Planning of a Quadrotor," *Amirkabir Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 1349–1364, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.22060/mej.2019.14832.5954.

[32] R. Wai and Alex S. Prasetia, "Adaptive Neural Network Control and Optimal Path Planning of UAV Surveillance System With Energy Consumption Prediction," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 126137–126153, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2938273.

[33] P. Varshney, G. Nagar, and I. Saha, "DeepControl: Energy-Efficient Control of a Quadrotor using a Deep Neural Network," in *2019 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, Nov. 2019, pp. 43–50. doi: 10.1109/IROS40897.2019.8968236.

[34] R. Miranda-Colorado and L. T. Aguilar, "Robust PID control of quadrotors with power reduction analysis," *ISA Transactions*, vol. 98, pp. 47–62, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2019.08.045.

[35] K. Choutri, M. Lagha, and L. Dala, "Multi-layered optimal navigation system for quadrotor UAV," *AEAT*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 145–155, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1108/AEAT-12-2018-0313.

[36] Y. Wang, Y. Wang, and B. Ren, "Energy Saving Quadrotor Control for Field Inspections," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1768–1777, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2020.3037071.

[37] A. Korneyev and M. Gorobetz, "Neural Network Based UAV Optimal Control Algorithm for Energy Efficiency Maximization," in *2020 IEEE 61th International Scientific Conference on Power and Electrical Engineering of Riga Technical University (RTUCON)*, Nov. 2020, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/RTUCON51174.2020.9316556.

[38] W. Giernacki, "Minimum Energy Control of Quadrotor UAV: Synthesis and Performance Analysis of Control System with Neurobiologically Inspired Intelligent Controller (BELBIC)," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 20, Art. no. 20, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15207566.

[39] S. Li and L. Feng, "Energy-Efficiency-Oriented Vision Feedback Control of QCSP Systems: Linear ADRC Approach," *Front. Energy Res.*, vol. 10, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2022.865069.

[40] Z. Lu, H. Li, R. He, and F. Holzapfel, "Energy-Efficient Incremental Control Allocation for Transition Flight via Quadratic Programming," in *Advances in Guidance, Navigation and Control*, L. Yan, H. Duan, and Y. Deng, Eds., Singapore: Springer Nature, 2023, pp. 4940–4951. doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-6613-2_478.

[41] R. Benotsmane and J. Vásárhelyi, "Towards Optimization of Energy Consumption of Tello Quad-Rotor with Mpc Model Implementation," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 23, Art. no. 23, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/en15239207.

[42] T. Maejima, X. Kong, T. Shimada, H. Nishikawa, and H. Tomiyama, "Drone path planning method to reduce energy consumption".

[43] A. Gasparetto, P. Boscariol, A. Lanzutti, and R. Vidoni, "Path Planning and Trajectory Planning Algorithms: A General Overview," in *Motion and Operation Planning of Robotic Systems: Background and Practical Approaches*, G. Carbone and F. Gomez-Bravo, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 3–27. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-14705-5_1.

[44] N. Bezzo, K. Mohta, C. Nowzari, I. Lee, V. Kumar, and G. Pappas, "Online planning for energy-efficient and disturbance-aware UAV operations," in *2016 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, Oct. 2016, pp. 5027–5033. doi: 10.1109/IROS.2016.7759738.

[45] F. Yacef, N. Rizoug, L. Degaa, O. Bouhali, and M. Hamerlain, "Trajectory optimisation for a quadrotor helicopter considering energy consumption," in *2017 4th International Conference on Control, Decision and Information Technologies, CoDIT 2017*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., Nov. 2017, pp. 1030–1035. doi: 10.1109/CoDIT.2017.8102734.

[46] B. Carvalho, M. D. Perna, and L. Rodrigues, "Real-Time Optimal Trajectory Generation for a Quadrotor UAV on the Longitudinal Plane," in *2018 European Control Conference (ECC)*, Jun. 2018, pp. 3132–3136. doi: 10.23919/ECC.2018.8550487.

[47] A. Nikhilraj, H. Simha, and H. Priyadarshan, "Optimal Energy Trajectory Generation for a Quadrotor UAV Using Geometrically Exact Computations on SE(3)," *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 216–221, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/LCSYS.2018.2874103.

[48] H. Heidari and M. Saska, "Trajectory Planning of Quadrotor Systems for Various Objective Functions," *Robotica*, vol. 39, pp. 137–152, May 2020, doi: 10.1017/S0263574720000247.

[49] F. Morbidi and D. Pisarski, "Practical and Accurate Generation of Energy-Optimal Trajectories for a Planar Quadrotor," *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2021-May, pp. 355–361, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9561395.

[50] A. Blakesley, B. Anvari, J. Kroll, and M. G. H. Bell, "Minimum energy route optimisation of a quad-copter UAV with landing incentivisation," in *2022 IEEE 25th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC)*, Macau, China: IEEE, Oct. 2022, pp. 2300–2306. doi: 10.1109/ITSC55140.2022.9922101.

[51] H. C. Henninger, K. D. Von Ellenrieder, and S. C. Licht, "Energy-minimal target retrieval for quadrotor UAVs: Trajectory generation and tracking," *2020 28th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation, MED 2020*, pp. 727–732, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.1109/MED48518.2020.9182898.

[52] N. Michel, P. Wei, Z. Kong, and X. Lin, "Energy-Optimal Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Motion Planning and Control Based on Integrated System Physical Dynamics," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, vol. 145, no. 041002, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1115/1.4056534.

[53] Lorenzo Palazzetti, "Routing Drones Being Aware of Wind Conditions: a Case Study," *2021 17th International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems (DCOSS)*, pp. 343–350, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1109/DCOSS52077.2021.00062.

[54] Aung Thu, S. Lupin, Tun Min Oo, and Min Thu Khaing, "Comparing a Quadrotor Energy Consumption for Different Flight Trajectories in Windy Conditions," *2021 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (ElConRus)*, pp. 2064–2066, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1109/ElConRus51938.2021.9396708.

[55] E. Belge, A. Altan, and R. Hacıoğlu, "Metaheuristic Optimization-Based Path Planning and Tracking of Quadcopter for Payload Hold-Release Mission," *Electronics 2022, Vol. 11, Page 1208*, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 1208, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/ELECTRONICS11081208.

[56] Yiwei Na *et al.*, "Optimal Energy Consumption Path Planning for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles Based on Improved Particle Swarm Optimization," *Sustainability*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su151612101.

[57] Shikai Shao, Yu Peng, Chenglong He, and Yun Du, "Efficient path planning for UAV formation via comprehensively improved particle swarm optimization.," *ISA transactions*, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2019.08.018.

[58] Zhenhua Yu, Zhijie Si, Xiaobo Li, Dan Wang, and H. Song, "A Novel Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Path Planning of UAVs," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, pp. 22547–22558, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2022.3182798.
[59] Manh Duong Phung and Q. Ha, "Safety-enhanced UAV Path Planning with Spherical Vector-based Particle Swarm Optimization," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 107, p. 107376, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107376.
[60] Yangguang Fu, Mingyue Ding, Chengping Zhou, and Hanping Hu, "Route Planning for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) on the Sea Using Hybrid Differential Evolution and Quantum-Behaved Particle Swarm Optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 43, pp. 1451–1465, Jun. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2013.2248146.
[61] Wenjian He, Xiaogang Qi, and Lifang Liu, "A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization for multi-UAV cooperate path planning," *Applied Intelligence*, vol. 51, pp. 7350–7364, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10489-020-02082-8.
[62] Vincent Roberge, M. Tarbouchi, and G. Labonté, "Comparison of Parallel Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization for Real-Time UAV Path Planning," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 9, pp. 132–141, Feb. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TII.2012.2198665.
[63] Lixia Deng, Huanyu Chen, Xiaoyiqun Zhang, and Haiying Liu, "Three-Dimensional Path Planning of UAV Based on Improved Particle Swarm Optimization," *Mathematics*, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/math11091987.
[64] Boyu Zhou, Fei Gao, Luqi Wang, Chuhao Liu, and S. Shen, "Robust and Efficient Quadrotor Trajectory Generation for Fast Autonomous Flight," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 4, pp. 3529–3536, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2019.2927938.
[65] Karam Eliker, Guoqing Zhang, S. Grouni, and Weidong Zhang, "An Optimization Problem for Quadcopter Reference Flight Trajectory Generation," *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/6574183.
[66] Yong Zeng, Jie Xu, and Rui Zhang, "Energy Minimization for Wireless Communication With Rotary-Wing UAV," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 18, pp. 2329–2345, Apr. 2018, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2019.2902559.
[67] Angel Romero, Sihao Sun, Philipp Foehn, and D. Scaramuzza, "Model Predictive Contouring Control for Time-Optimal Quadrotor Flight," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 38, pp. 3340–3356, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2022.3173711.
[68] Zongchuan Zhou, Gang Wang, Jian Sun, Jikai Wang, and Jie Chen, "Efficient and Robust Time-Optimal Trajectory Planning and Control for Agile Quadrotor Flight," *IEEE robotics and automation letters*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 7913–7920, 2023, doi: 10.1109/lra.2023.3322075.
[69] M. Hehn and R. D'Andrea, "Real-Time Trajectory Generation for Quadrocopters," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 31, pp. 877–892, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TRO.2015.2432611.
[70] Charles Richter, A. Bry, and N. Roy, "Polynomial Trajectory Planning for Aggressive Quadrotor Flight in Dense Indoor Environments," pp. 649–666, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-28872-7_37.
[71] Ramkumar Natarajan, H. Choset, and M. Likhachev, "Interleaving Graph Search and Trajectory Optimization for Aggressive Quadrotor Flight," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 6, pp. 5357–5364, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2021.3067298.
[72] Sikang Liu *et al.*, "Planning Dynamically Feasible Trajectories for Quadrotors Using Safe Flight Corridors in 3-D Complex Environments," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 2, pp. 1688–1695, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2017.2663526.
[73] Zhepei Wang, Xiaoxia Zhou, Chao Xu, and Fei Gao, "Alternating Minimization Based Trajectory Generation for Quadrotor Aggressive Flight," *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, vol. 5, pp. 4836–4843, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1109/LRA.2020.3003871.
[74] Abolfazl Lavaei and M. A. Atashgah, "Optimal 3D trajectory generation in delivering missions under urban constraints for a flying robot," *Intelligent Service Robotics*, vol. 10, pp. 241–256, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11370-017-0225-x.
[75] Yuwei Wu, Xiatao Sun, Igor Spasojević, and Vijay Kumar, "Deep Learning for Optimization of Trajectories for Quadrotors," *IEEE robotics and automation letters*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 2479–2486, 2024, doi: 10.1109/lra.2024.3357399.
[76] Yuwei Wu, Xiatao Sun, Igor Spasojevic, and Vijay Kumar, "Learning Optimal Trajectories for Quadrotors," *arXiv.org*, vol. abs/2309.15191, 2023, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2309.15191.
[77] Yuwei Wu, Yuezhan Tao, Igor Spasojevic, and Vijay Kumar, "Trajectory Optimization with Global Yaw Parameterization for Field-of-View Constrained Autonomous Flight," *arXiv.org*, vol. abs/2403.17067, 2024, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2403.17067.
[78] D. Bianchi, A. Borri, Federico Cappuzzo, and S. Di Gennaro, "Quadrotor Trajectory Control Based on Energy-Optimal Reference Generator," *Drones*, 2024, doi: 10.3390/drones8010029.
[79] Hao Liu, Mengmeng Yin, Zheng Wu, and Fanbiao Li, "A quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle trajectory generation algorithm based on RRT-JPS and corridors," 2023, pp. 127093E-127093E. doi: 10.1117/12.2684771.
[80] Bilal Mubdir and Emmanuel Prempain, "Energy-Efficient Trajectory Optimization with Nonlinear Model Predictive Control for a Quadrotor UAV," 2024, doi: 10.23919/ecc64448.2024.10591228.
[81] István Nagy and Edit Tóth-Laufer, "Energy-Optimized 3D Path Planning for Unmanned Aerial Vehicle," 2024, doi: 10.20944/preprints202407.1465.v1.

[82] Hannes Rienecker, Veit Hildebrand, and Harald Pfifer, “Energy Optimal Flight Path Planning for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Urban Environments Based on a Novel Energy-Distance Map,” 2024, doi: 10.2514/6.2024-2394.

[83] Yuwen Yang and Xin Liu, “Deep reinforcement learning based trajectory optimization for UAV-enabled iot with SWIPT,” *Ad hoc networks*, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2024.103488.

[84] Mengtang Li, Guoku Jia, Xun Li, and Hao Qiu, “Efficient Trajectory Planning for Optimizing Energy Consumption and Completion Time in UAV-Assisted IoT Networks,” *Mathematics*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/math11204399.

[85] J. L. Marins, T. Cabreira, Kristofer S. Kappel, and P. Ferreira, “A Closed-Form Energy Model for Multi-rotors Based on the Dynamic of the Movement,” *2018 VIII Brazilian Symposium on Computing Systems Engineering (SBESC)*, pp. 256–261, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1109/SBESC.2018.00047.

[86] Christoph Steup, S. Parlow, Sebastian Mai, and Sanaz Mostaghim, “Generic Component-Based Mission-Centric Energy Model for Micro-Scale Unmanned Aerial Vehicles,” *Drones*, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.3390/DRONES4040063.

[87] Z. Liu, R. Sengupta, and A. Kurzhanskiy, “A power consumption model for multi-rotor small unmanned aircraft systems,” *2017 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems, ICUAS 2017*, pp. 310–315, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1109/ICUAS.2017.7991310.

[88] Javier Muñoz, B. López, and Luis Moreno, “Gaussian Processes for Energy Consumption Estimation of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles,” *2023 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Computer, Communications and Mechatronics Engineering (ICECCME)*, pp. 1–6, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.1109/ICECCME57830.2023.10252348.

[89] Carlos Muli, Sangyoung Park, and Mingming Liu, “A Comparative Study on Energy Consumption Models for Drones,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2206.01609, May 2022, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.01609.

[90] Y. Chen, D. Baek, A. Bocca, A. Macii, E. Macii, and M. Poncino, “A case for a battery-aware model of drone energy consumption,” *INTELEC, International Telecommunications Energy Conference (Proceedings)*, vol. 2018-October, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/INTLEC.2018.8612333.

[91] Mengtang Li, Guoku Jia, Shiming Gong, and Ruchi Guo, “Energy Consumption Model of BLDC Quadrotor UAVs for Mobile Communication Trajectory Planning,” Feb. 2022, doi: 10.36227/techrxiv.19181228.

[92] Changhyeong Lee, Junwoo Jason Son, Hakjun Lee, and Soohee Han, “Energy Consumption Analysis of Downward-Tethered Quadcopter,” *2021 21st International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)*, pp. 1212–1215, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.23919/ICCAS52745.2021.9649943.

[93] Ali Mohsin and Jaber Abdulhady, “Comparing dynamic model and flight control of plus and cross quadcopter configurations,” *FME Transactions*, 2022, doi: 10.5937/fme2204683m.

[94] J. Zhang, J. F. Campbell, D. C. Sweeney, and A. C. Hupman, “Energy consumption models for delivery drones: A comparison and assessment,” *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, vol. 90, p. 102668, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/J.TRD.2020.102668.